

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

DARNELL JOHNSON, COREY MITCHELL, individually, TERVELL SANDERS, individually, MARCUS SIMPSON, individually, DELONZO WEAVER, individually, LESLIE GOODALL, as Next Friend of her minor son, MARTIEL BUSH, LISA McQUEEN, as Next Friend of her minor son, JOSEPH McQUEEN, BOBBI JEAN PHILLIPS, as Next Friend of her minor son, STEVEN PHILLIPS, JAMES SHAFFER, individually, SHIRINE PATMAN, as Next Friend of her minor son JERMAINE SHAFFER, ANTON POSEY, individually, and BERNARD WILSON, Jr., individually,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

and

DONALD BENNETT, DEANTE COX, JAMES DUNNIGAN, JERMAINE DUNNIGAN, WESLEY SIMPSON, ARTHUR TAYLOR, ANTONIO WILSON, ANTONIO WRIGHT,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF EASTPOINTE, a Michigan Municipal Corporation, LEO BOROWSKY, JOHN CALABRESE, NEIL CHILDS, BRIAN DEAL, FRED DeWEESE, R. DIEGEL, ERIC KEISER, EDWARD LULKO, CHAD MARGITA, TODD MURDOCK, WES McALLISTER, jointly and severally,

Defendants-Appellees,

and

MARK ALLEN, JOHN CLEMENTS, THOMAS DANBERT, DANIELLE DAVIS, DAVID GERLACH, RICKY HILL, LEW HUNT, LANCE LAMM, REICHENBACH, FRED SCOTT, C. TIPTON, MICHAEL WINBUSH, ZAVISLAK,

Defendants.

CORRECTED FINAL BRIEF OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Michigan
Southern Division

J. Mark Finnegan
Cooperating Attorney, American Civil Liberties Union
Fund of Michigan
Heberle & Finnegan
2580 Craig Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-2166
Phone: 734-302-3233
Fax: 734-302-3234

Michael J. Steinberg
Kary L. Moss
Saura J. Sahu
American Civil Liberties Union
Fund of Michigan
60 W. Hancock
Detroit, MI 48201
Phone: 313-578-6800
Fax: 313-578-6811

Charles H. Chomet
Kelman, Loria, Will, Harvey, & Thompson, P.C.
660 Woodward Ave., Ste. 2300
Detroit, MI 48226-3505
Phone: 313-7363

Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATIONS AND FINANCIAL INTEREST

Pursuant to 6th Cir. R. 26.1, Plaintiffs Darnell Johnson, et al. make the following disclosure:

1. Is said party a subsidiary or affiliate of a publicly owned corporation? No

If the answer is YES, list below the identity of the parent corporation or affiliate and the relationship between it and the named party:

2. Is there a publicly owned corporation, not a party to the appeal, that has a financial interest in the outcome? No

If the answer is YES, list the identity of such corporation and the nature of the financial interest:

Michael J. Steinberg
October 13, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES vi

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT 1

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT 1

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES 2

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 3

STATEMENT OF FACTS 5

INCIDENT 1 8

INCIDENT 3 10

INCIDENT 5 12

INCIDENT 6 13

INCIDENT 8 14

INCIDENT 10 16

INCIDENT 11 17

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT 21

STANDARD OF REVIEW 22

ARGUMENT.....	23
I. The District Court Committed Reversible Error When It Entered a Summary Judgment Against the Plaintiffs' Claims Pursuant to the Equal Protection Clause Because a Genuine Issue of Material Fact Remains for Trial as to Whether Eastpointe and its Supervisory Police Officers Maintained a Practice or Encouraged Subordinate Officers, to Discriminate Against African-Americans or to Target Them for Especially Strict Enforcement of the Laws.....	23
A. <u>Municipal Liability</u>	23
B. <u>Supervisory Liability</u>	24
C. <u>Eastpointe's Race-Based Stops Fail Strict Scrutiny</u>	24
D. <u>Eastpointe Maintained a Practice or Custom of Subjecting African-American Bicyclists to Unequal Treatment</u>	28
II. The District Court Abused Its Discretion in Entering a Summary Judgment Sua Sponte on the Racial Discrimination Claims Against the Individual Officers.....	32
III. The Lower Court Erred by Entering Summary Judgment Against the Appellants' Fourth Amendment Claims.....	33
A. <u>Qualified Immunity</u>	33
B. <u>The Objective Standards of the Fourth Amendment.</u>	34
1. Defining a Stop Triggering Fourth Amendment Protection	35
2. Is the Stop Objectively Justified?.....	38
3. Stops Must Be No More Extensive than Necessary	39
IV. The District Court Committed Reversible Error as to Each of the Incidents On Appeal Because Genuine Issues Remains for Trial.....	40
A. Incident 11.....	40
B. Incident 10.....	42
C. Incident 1	44
D. Incident 5	48

E. Incident 6 50
F. Incident 8 51
G. Incident 3 55
H. The Rights that Defendants Objectively Violated Were Clearly
Established at the Time 59
CONCLUSION..... 60