

Question Report

Report Generated:

4/3/2020 14:58

SORA Legal and Legislative 149-543-251

Update and Call to Action

Question Details

Question

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 According to the new legislation the pre-2011 registrants will no longer be on a tier system and with either register for 25 year or life with in person verification every 90 days. I am currently a Tier 1 so under this new law the requirements become much more restrictive. Is there a way to address this and if so what options may I have? | The draft legislation HB5679 has only been introduced and will likely NOT be what eventually gets signed into law. However, we expect that any future law will eliminate the public tiering at least for pre-2011 registrants. The way to address this at this point is to work together to promote the American Law Institute model policy we mentioned on the webinar. It can be found on our website at https://www.aclumich.org/en/sora-legislative-history-overview . |
| 2 My conviction was from 2010 based out of Ohio. I am currently living in Ottawa County MI. | Those convicted in another state who then reside, work, or are a student in Michigan will register based on the Michigan registerable offense that is most parallels the offense for which they were convicted. |
| 3 If a persons was convicted under Federal law and serves time in a Federal prison, when they get out are they under State Registry law or is there a separate Federal Registry law. | Those convicted under federal law are required to register in the state in which they reside or work, or are a student. |
| 4 I have several questions about the ruling. How does this affect the pre-1999 offenders and how does this effect the pre-1994 offenders. These subclasses were | There are only two classes - pre-and post-2011. Pre-1999 and 1994 offenders are in the pre-2011 subclass. |
| 5 Is this to do with the changes coming to SORA | This is regarding the legislative changes as a result the ACLU success in challenging Michigan's sex offender registry for certain unconstitutional provisions. |
| 6 after 25yrs of this. and seems continued issues all being caused by new laws. will I ever get off this. or. will legislature resentence me again. | Your frustration is understandable. This law has become more and more punitive over the years, which is why we filed and were successful in challenging it. Together, we will work hard to make sure that any new law provides relief to as many registrants as possible. |

- 7 Tier references begin on pg 6 then 2a(1) pg 12 says it does not apply then on p27 tiers are used to set the reporting frequencies. Don't the tiers have to go away entirely? The tiers may have to be eliminated for pre-2011 registrants, and we are going to work our hardest to eliminate them all together.
- 8 Pg 46 e. property line to property line vague as to how to measure. Straight line, via public thoroughfare, etc. ? How to identify the location of a property line if it isn't visually apparent? In the draft HB5679 the property line definitions are inadequate and likely would not be enforceable, however we are working so that the bill does not become law.
- 9 What is the new bill and how will it affect pre 2006 registers This is only a draft bill, HB5679. It effectively establishes two sets of rules for registrants depending on if one is pre or post-2011. However, we are working to make sure this bill is not passed.
- 10 Hi everyone. My name is Christian Manhart. I have been on the registry since 1996. I was a juvenile when placed on the registry and was one of the first in Michigan. I want to be involved heavily. Please email intern@aclumich.org to sign up for this campaign and thank you!
- 11 I've read 5679. It seems as bad, and worse in some ways, than what it's supposed to be "fixing." If this gets passed, will there be any emergency/immediate relief available in the court if it recognizes that this is a bogus fix? The court does not take a stand on legislation unless we file a challenge. Our best bet is to mount a focused campaign to make sure the bill does not pass.
- 12 I want to share my story Please email intern@aclumich.org to sign up for this campaign and thank you!
- 13 1. Are we still in discussion with state's legislative to draft an acceptable bill We are continuing to advocate for a new law that either eliminates or substantially reduces the burdens of Michigan's registry law. See our website for the American Law Institute model policy at <https://www.aclumich.org/en/sora-legislative-history-overview>. As Paul mentioned, the 60 day deadline is somewhat up in the air given the COVID-19 pandemic and we are waiting for an order from Judge Clelland. As to the school safety zone, we will continue to work for its elimination altogether. In our negotiations with stakeholders over the past several years, we've come to agreement on removing expunged, HYTA, and agreed to reduce the years of registration. We will continue to work for those commitments in any new law.
2. When are we anticipate to have new law
3. When is the deadline for 60 days that was given by the court, and what will happen if the state fail to pass a bill before that time
4. Do we expect school safety zone law will remain, with new definition of school boundary
5. Do we have a feel with state reps. on shortening the length of registry to 20 or 15 years as a max, or do we have a feel to deregister people with expunged records or older convictions that happened even before SORA.

- 14 I heard this new bill is very similar to the current law, so I wonder why they would consider it knowing that the supreme Court deems the current law unconstitutional. You are correct that the draft HB5679 is only slightly different than current law. The legislature believes it cures constitutional issues and we disagree. The legislature has no political will change the law so they are doing as little as possible. We don't know if the Supreme Court or a federal court would find it unconstitutional and that would mean we would have to file another legal challenge, meaning years and years of limbo.
- 15 5679 doesn't seem to address any of the Constitutional problems with the current SORA, so does it have any chance of being signed into law? SEE ABOVE
- 16 Do pre-2011 have to still register currently? The Michigan State Police have a memo declaring that they are not verifying pre 4/2011 registrants at this time. Local law enforcement received the memo but it unclear if they are all complying with it. We suggest that you call the post at which you register and inquire whether you should come in, and note the date, time, and person you talked to for your records.
- 17 Has there been any advancement on additional offenses being eligible for expungement or set-aside? For instance, I believe most of the felonies involved are not eligible for set-aside conviction or expungement, whereas some of the misdemeanors are eligible. The bills allowing for the expungement of a variety of offenses has not been passed into law yet, although we expect it will this year if the legislature returns to session. However, at this point Michigan's registry law requires registration even if your offense was expunged. We are committed to changing that in this campaign.
- 18 what about offenders that were sentenced before these laws were put into place. do we have to comply Yes, Michigan's registry law is retroactive and captures certain offenders whose offenses were committed before the laws were put into place.
- 19 If 5679 doesn't address the elements of the Cleland ruling, how could it become a law regardless of whether we fight it? The court does not take a stand on legislation unless we file a challenge. Our best bet is to mount a focused campaign to make sure the bill does not pass.
- 20 April is my verification month and I'm being told by State Police that they cannot verify me right now for 60 days after the Feb 14th ruling. I want to know what the protocol is at this time? The bills allowing for the expungement of a variety of offenses has not been passed into law yet, although we expect it will this year if the legislature returns to session. However, at this point Michigan's registry law requires registration even if your offense was expunged. We are committed to changing that in this campaign. If the state police told you not to verify you should be fine, and please note the date and time you got that message from MSP. You should receive a notice about the protocol going forward once the pandemic subsides and please check our website for updates ACLUMICH.org/SORA
- My second question is what is expected to happen to those whose convictions are set aside (expunged).
- 21 How does that match with the 60 day requirement? I'm sorry. This is not clear and I hope the previous answers addressed the issue. If not, email intern@aclumich.org.

- 22 This is more a comment but as someone who has had people threaten my life with weapons or cut my brake lines and loosen lug it's what can I do to be involve if someone can call and reach out
- We are sorry that this is happening to you. It must be incredibly scary and it is untenable. Please email intern@aclumich.org to sign up for this campaign and thank you!
- 23 my name is Michael Johnson I want to speak to and ask questions to this panel
- If your questions were not addressed on the webinar or in this follow-up please email intern@aclumich.org.
- 24 Forgive me if this is touched on after I send this. I understand the Tier system would be null in void. However, those that are on Tier 1 currently would have to register for 25 years instead of the 15 years under the bill proposal or will registrants keep their registry lengths as is?
- The draft legislation HB5679 has only been introduced and will likely NOT be what eventually gets signed into law. However, we expect that any future law will eliminate the public tiering at least for pre-2011 registrants. The way to address this at this point is to work together to promote the American Law Institute model policy we mentioned on the webinar. It can be found on our website at <https://www.aclumich.org/en/sora-legislative-history-overview>.
- 25 Do tier 3 still have to register a lifetime?
- At this point, yes.
- 26 okay Wednesday i was told that i do not have to report anymore and that im done. they are removing me from the data base. can they put me back on the data base after being removed? my conviction date was 12/2 /97.
- You should get written verification of being removed. If they have indeed removed you from the registry there is little chance you will be put back on it.
- 28 What did Paul mean by "Executive Administration shut the door"? Is that Gretchen Whittmer?
- We have been negotiating for over three years and when Gov. Whitmer came into office, the administration stopped negotiating. Very unfortunate.
- 29 My oldest daughter is 8. Her first cousin (on her moms side) is 15 and right now is being charged with 3 accounts of 2nd degree CSC. I am curry a sex offender from a juvenile case in 2003. Anyways my daughter told me about the incident and I followed the proper steps and my daughter had to go to counseling and I couldn't be there bc it's at a children's center and I can't be around any spots like that
- Please email intern@aclumich.org if you are looking for something specific.
- 31 Wont People v. Betts have implications on any prosepective law that the legislature might come up with?
- As Paul mentioned, Betts is still being argued and yes, it could have implications. How it impacts the law depends on what the court decides in Betts.
- 32 so. under a.g order. I was sentenced 1995. I dont have to register.
- If you have a specific question that was not addressed on the webinar or in this follow-up please email intern@aclumich.org.

- 33 I've tried to register a new vehicle and was told I can't and this month is my month to verify I called Hart state police post and sgt Thomas told me I don't have to register and I'm worried about this biting me later!
- The Michigan State Police have a memo declaring that they are not verifying pre 4/2011 registrants at this time. Local law enforcement received the memo but it unclear if they are all complying with it. We suggest that you call the post at which you register and inquire whether you should come in, and note the date, time, and person you talked to for your records.
- 34 It looks like pre-2011 registrants are not subject to the Tier system? Also, it notes those registrants are not placed on the public registry. I have a Tier 1 level offense from 2010. Would that basically mean that if this law is passed I will no longer be on the public website?
- The draft legislation HB5679 has only been introduced and will likely NOT be what eventually gets signed into law. However, we expect that any future law will eliminate the public tiering at least for pre-2011 registrants. The American Law Institute model policy we mentioned on the webinar is preferable. It can be found on our website at <https://www.aclumich.org/en/sora-legislative-history-overview>.
- 35 I'm curious what this means or could mean for a currently incarcerated SO who was charged and registered by the state in 2010?
- At this point the current law would require registration based on the assigned tier.
- 36 From what I'm hearing, the injunctions should have gone into effect on or about May 5th. Aside from all the legislative shenanigans, there is in effect no law to comply with after that date.
- That depends on the order we are awaiting from the court. Please check out website for updates aclumich.org/sora.
- 37 Good background from Paul, but WHEN will the final judgements be entered so we can start the 60 day clock?
- We hope very soon. And it is likely that the 60-day requirement will be suspended until after the pandemic subsides. Please check our website for updates at aclumich.org/sora.
- 38 Can you please clarify is the ruling based on offense date or conviction date? For example my offense is from 2004 but my conviction is from 2012.
- That is an issue that is sometimes unclear but generally offense date is the proper date. Please email intern@aclumich.org for more information,
- 39 can we individually sue the state of michigan
- The ACLU does not take that type of case.
- 40 I tried to register today and the officer told me the state's system was down. Just wanted to share with others.
- Thank you. We've heard similar reports.
- 41 For people who are passed the max time limit on the registry, per their sentence. Does the "current" bill address that?
- I am not sure what you mean. If you have completed your registration period you should be removed from that obligation regardless of upcoming legislation.

- 42 How is this law going to effect people who are pre-2011 lifetime registrants who with the introduction of SORNA switched over to Tier 1 offenders. Is it legal to be bumped back up to a lifetime registrant? We will work to ensure that no registrant is made to register for a longer period of time.
- 43 both attorneys have helped me i. my past and were very successful. auterman and reingold .are excellent. they should know about my case . I really want to help there still along way to go . Please email intern@aclumich.org to sign up for this campaign and thank you!
- 44 I am able to help I am in Berrien County Please email intern@aclumich.org to sign up for this campaign and thank you!
- 45 she just said the plan of advocacy for the next 6 months. does that mean this is going to drag on for atleast that long? This is a long, intense campaign that we have already been fighting for 20 years. The DOE's case was filed in 2012 and we had a decision that the Michigan law was substantially unconstitutional in 2015. We've been negotiating for over three years for a new law. Yes, ths will take AT LEAST that long.
- 46 is someone gong to comment on the constitutionality of the 5679 bill? We are not supportive of the bill and have not assessed its constitutionality given that we don't expect it to pass because we are going to band together to stop it.
- 47 When the new proposed law is presented to Judge Cleeland, is the ACLU hoping he will shoot it down before it becomes law? The court does not take a stand on legislation unless we file a challenge. Our best bet is to mount a focused campaign to make sure the bill does not pass.
- 48 Will someone please call me Michael Johnson 734 796 0380 Hi Michael. Please contact Tim at intern@aclumich.org.
- 49 So how does all of thidgoing to effect those of us who have convictions, from the early 1990's before a registry ever existed, and was never sentenced by a judge to register since the registry didn't exist in 6-19-1992 my conviction date. Yes, Michigan's registry law is retroactive and captures certain offenders whose offenses were committed before the laws were put into place. Registration requirements are civil, not criminal, so a judge does not decide on registration. It's automatic. People with offense pre-2011 should see relief under any new law.
- 50 does this pandemic put us at even more risk for harrassment and/or violence now that everyone is home shut in and bored and may now decide to search those on registry for harrassment purposes? To say I'm not terrified is an understatement. I am sorry. I can hear your fear. I hope that doesn't happen and if it does, you need to alert the police.

- 51 Several Questions: Is the MSC court still meeting currently by remote meetings? What is the status of People v. David Allen Snyder? It was argued last May and still no decision. I am a registrant in the state of Michigan with an expunged record with a case in the MSC to be removed from the registry, but the court is holding in abeyance until People v. David Allen Snyder is decided. Also, how could I get the ACLU to write an amicus brief for my case? Also, as a registrant, how can I get involved as an advocate without drawing public attention to myself that I'm a registrant?
- I can't answer the questions about the other cases you mention and urge you to speak to your lawyer about specific questions that impact your case. The ACLU legal director decides on when to enter an amicus. If you want to explore that you can email intern@aclumich.org.
- 52 how can we as registrants be active in changing these laws when by doing so we run the risk of further exposure. my 2 teen aged children already felt the need to change their last name to avoid bullying and embarrassment and have done so. I just can't bring myself to exposing them to further potential stress.
- There are many actions you can take to advocate for the changes we need while remaining under the radar. Please email intern@aclumich.org ways you can help and thank you.
- 53 is Doe 1 people still on the registry? If not, how can they say they get off the registry but we have to wait for legislation to rewrite registry? why no order right away for expostfacto?? Why haven't they just released the people who they know they are unconstitutionally punishing? expost factoly ??? Then try to fix the registry? Or negotiate later for a better registry? haven't we been punished enough?
- This is a long, intense campaign that we have already been fighting for 20 years. The DOE's case was filed in 2012 and we had a decision that the Michigan law was substantially unconstitutional in 2015. We've been negotiating for over three years for a new law. The DOE 1 plaintiffs are removed and the reason we filed DOE 2 was to force the court and legislature to treat the entire class of registrants in the same manner. Unfortunately, unwinding the laws and the courts' view of registry laws takes time.
- 54 I was told by the MSP that I need to do nothing and they WILL NOT change my information
- The Michigan State Police have a memo declaring that they are not verifying pre 4/2011 registrants at this time. Local law enforcement received the memo but it's unclear if they are all complying with it. We suggest that you call the post at which you register and inquire whether you should come in, and note the date, time, and person you talked to for your records. If you have a specific question about your registration please email us at intern@aclumich.org.

- 55 i was told at MSP not to verify in mid march. I emailed psors@michigan.gov and received the following response:
 Many agencies have paused verifications at this time for offenders with offense dates prior to 04/12/2011. The law itself has not changed. Once decisions/changes are made, anyone that is affected will receive written correspondence advising them of the changes. No enforcement action will be taken against you for not verifying or paying a fee this period. Please do report to local law enforcement during your next verification period to verify UNLESS you are told to do something different from our office via mail. Also, if you change addresses, please attempt to update that with local law enforcement at any time and if you are turned away, email us the address at this email address so we can make a note in your record. We want to ensure we have your most up-to-date address for future mailings.
- Thank you. We've heard similar reports.
- 56 Much has been based on the date of offense, but unlike bank robbery that occurs at a specific place on a specific date/time, CSC offenses typically don't have a time element to them, so how can that become the basis for determining what ex post facto subclass someone may be part of?
- Convictions for crime are generally based on one or several specific offense(s) so at least one offense date is sure to be part of the record.
- 57 As a registrant since 2000, sentenced under HYTA I completed the program, I have no conviction however registration still applies. I would like to share my story how this has effected my family and myself. Even living in a different state I am still under registration guidelines per Michigan Laws. I am open to help in any way I can.
- Thank you. Please email us at intern@aclumich.org.
- 58 231-794-8166 if someone could call me as well please
- Please email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 59 Shawn B Leaf here from Northern MI I am willing to be a Leader and Volunteer!
- Thank you! Please email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 61 Will Someone please call me as well. Christian Manhart. 5172454111
- Please email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 62 what are the volunteer responsibilities?
- Please email us at intern@aclumich.org and we can explain more.

- 63 Maybe this can help some people out. I emailed the Michigan State Police Sora division telling them my local State Police told me I didn't have to register anymore. He replied that he was going to put update my file. I just wanted a reply to have physical proof I tried. Thank you.
- 64 Can a legislator introduce a bill modeled on the American Law Institute proposal while 5679 is in judiciary committee. Would that be a valid strategy to counter 5679 & give the committee options to consider? Yes and we expect to have a sponsor for that incorporates the ALI draft.
- 65 Pre-2006 Romeo and Juliet and expunged registered citizens have not been addressed. Is there anything to expect from these sub-classes? These are not subclasses. The only classes are pre and post-2011. We are working to address the removal or exemption of all expunged and age-only offenses.
- 66 why can't the judge say no ex post facto at all for pre 2011 ...make a order & done ??? what's the hold up ? This is a long, intense campaign that we have already been fighting for 20 years. The DOE's case was filed in 2012 and we had a decision that the Michigan law was substantially unconstitutional in 2015. We've been negotiating for over three years for a new law.
- 67 Please clarify that the decision regarding the 2006 and 2011 changes does not address the 1995 law change implementing SORA at its inception. There is much confusion that people whose offense predates 1995 implementation of SORA are assuming that is unconstitutional too. It may be unconstitutional, but that was not challenged in the Does v Snyder case, so has not been determined by the court. Please clarify this for folks. The pre-2011 universe includes everything before April of 2011. The legal challenge only delineate between the substantial changes in 2006 (school safety zones) and 2011 (SORNA rewrite). As such, all registrants with offenses prior to 2011 are treated the same.
- 68 I would like to share my story also. I have already made my story public in a letter addressed to the AG with copies sent to Ms. Aukerman and also to the governor. I have been following this matter closer since I decided to become involved in some way. My offense was committed in 2003. I was convicted in January of 2004. I have been on this registry all this time, and never left my living room. This was strictly an internet chat offense. My life has suffered immensely. Please email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 69 Tim email me jeremyrayk@icloud.com Please email us at intern@aclumich.org

- 70 Is there something in the proposal that can be immediately in violation of the federal order that the judge could issue a restraining order to keep it from going into effect? No. The judges order will not be based on proposed legislation. That is not a role the court plays.
- 71 Relating to public registry vs law enforcement only save FOIA requests, would there be more impact to bring attention to loss privacy and sustaining injury by spouse or children? We've addressed that successfully in our pleadings with the court. It is a valuable narrative to relate to legislators and we are happy to help you shape that story.
- 72 My crime was committed in 1983, I was 15years old at the time. I have to register for life. I can't live my life... what can I do to help Please email us at intern@aclumich.org. I am sorry for your pain.
- 73 what if 10 years registration was a deciding factor in taking my plea deal and now I'm tired? The registry is a civil construction and other than the nexus to the "registerable offense" the criminal process has nothing to do with the registry.
- 74 Is there any consideration to challenging the constitutionality of the federal SORNA when not convicted of federal offenses? Given all the state challenges it is probable that a challenge to federal SORNA is not far off but we have not strategized around that option at the ACLU - MI.
- 75 I noticed some text in the bill about Romeo and Juliet cases, but it's difficult to understand. What are the proposed changes there? So called "Romeo and Juliet" cases are already available for removal and the draft bill codifies that.
- 76 so from everything i have heard today the legislature doesnt appear to take the ruling by judge cleland seriously. they have come back with a bill that does not address any of the issues found unconstititutional.. How is that possible???? This is a long, intense campaign that we have already been fighting for 20 years. The DOE's case was filed in 2012 and we had a decision that the Michigan law was substantially unconstitutional in 2015. We've been negotiating for over three years for a new law.
- 77 ok perfect! I really want to get involved but want to make sure I can do a good job to make the biggest difference! I will email Thanks so much!!
- 78 I would like to share my story concerning my case with HYTA and I would also like to volunteer and I am willing to help out anywhere there is need. Thank you! Please email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 79 how much of what they are doing now is double jeopardy None. The registry is not a criminal sanction but a civil one so no double jeopardy.

- 80 What do I do if I am being told by MSP that I need to do nothing at this time. What do I do to remain complaint? I was told the same thing by both Warren and County SD
- The Michigan State Police have a memo declaring that they are not verifying pre 4/2011 registrants at this time. Local law enforcement received the memo but it unclear if they are all complying with it. We suggest that you call the post at which you register and inquire whether you should come in, and note the date, time, and person you talked to for your records. If you have a specific question about your registration please email us at intern@aclumich.org.
- 82 Why wouldn't the legislature work remotely during this pandemic to pass a new bill?
- The legislature does not have rules in place to vote remotely.
- 83 What if I am an adjudicated juvenile who is being forced to register?
- Please email us at intern@aclumich.org about your issue.
- 84 When I went in March to verify I was asked when my conviction date was. I asked if they meant offense date but they stated again conviction date. I know I have asked this already but for the Ipso facto law is it offense date or conviction date? My offense is from 2004 but conviction is 2012.
- It is offense date but there is a lot of confusion because many registrant's records don't have the offense date recorded.
- 85 When will we begin co-ordinating the teams we volunteered for via the poll?
- We would like to get started as soon as we compile the information and know who our volunteer coordinators are. We should be ready in the next three weeks.
- 86 What if any discussion or changes were looked at with the legislators for offenses that were not a listed offence but were put by the court under the "catch all". Example an internet chat case with an undercover where no meeting, meeting setp or actual solicitation took place. This would have been on 2007
- We are working to limit the crimes requiring registration. Please see the American Law Institute model policy on our website at aclumich.org/sora.
- 87 what. if you can prove punishment by cops. judges. prosecutors
- You should contact a laywer for that type of review.
- 88 How can 5679 possibly "pass constitutional muster" as is? It's like they're not even paying attention to the judge.
- The draft legislation HB5679 has only been introduced and will likely NOT be what eventually gets signed into law. The American Law Institute model policy we mentioned on the webinar is preferable. It can be found on our website at <https://www.aclumich.org/en/sora-legislative-history-overview>.
- 89 I'm coming in a little late, so can you tell me what the status is on judge Cleland order for us?
- We don't have an order yet and expect it soon. Please check our website for updates at aclumich.org/sora

- 90 If 5679 passed would not the 60 day judge order "bring it into compliance or lose it" still stand? Do we maybe want 5679 to pass without meeting Cleland's requirements? If HB5679 passed the order would be satisfied. Judge Clelland does not weigh in on and has no authority over the legislation that passes, and we would have to challenge it in court, starting the whole legal battle again. So no, it would not be good if HB5679 or any other bad bill passed.
- 91 Do we have a plan on getting MI governor onboard to support meaningful changes to the registry? That is part of this campaign.
- 92 Can the state clean up the ex post facto law by conducting a ton of pseudo-hearings and make a more careful declaration that the law is civil and remedial and that there are no other less restrictive alternatives? Doesn't sound like an option the state would entertain.
- 93 Will betts v Michigan have any effect on the ruling? As Paul mentioned, Betts is still being argued and yes, it could have implications. How it impacts the law depends on what the court decides in Betts.
- 94 I have been on this since 2000 for csc4th degree how come they removed me and put me back on? Will they remove more csc4th degree off the registry? You can email us at intern@aclumich.org for this specific question.
- 95 I do have a personal issue. I got married in 2017 my wife is originally from Rwanda but she was here in the U.S. when we got married. She is here under assylum, I filed the I-130 petition for alien relative. I found out under the Adam walsh Act I could not file an I-130. You can email us at intern@aclumich.org for this specific question. You may want to contact an immigration attorney.
- 96 I cant find a Lawyer to help get me off the list, I know its going to cost alot, but I need to get off of this registry. Also Can we sue the sate for violating our constatuional rights There is no path to get registrants off the list except under very rare circumstances, which is probably why you can't find a lawyer. As to filing for damages, you would have to contact a lawyer with that question. The ACLU doesn't take such cases.
- 98 How come indiana removes me but because my original charge was in Michigan. Michigan called them and told me to be out back on till my 25 years are up for csc4th degree? How can they do that when a state controls their own policies and statues? I am unclear what you are asking. You can email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 99 I would like to share my story to help my kids so they don't have to suffer for my choices 20 years ago. Thank you for your bravery. Please email us at intern@aclumich.org

- 100 Paul - you worked with me back in May 2019. If I am currently living within a school safety zone and this new bill passes, would I be forced to move and face legal recourse? When we purchased we did not know this the property was within an exclusion zone and have been able to reside here for the last 2.5 years.
- We can't answer that question at this point. We don't expect the bill to pass because we are going to stop it, but this question can only be answered after a law is in place.
- 102 HB says for life judge ruled differently my case was back in 97 how is that gonna be raised as unconstitutional?
- HB 5679 is not law and we can't address this until we know what the new law, when one passes, actually requires.
- 103 Have been advocating forever for a risk based assessment. Also important is educating legislators on the difference between "sex offender" and "pedophile"
- Stay with it, however a risk-based assessment has many flaws and inherent problems.
- 104 Has anyone considered the radical idea of organizing a public demonstration with registrants and affected families going to the Capitol or legislators offices
- It's not so radical. Volunteers can certainly discuss this option. We have in the past had such lobby days (not really demonstrations) and they are good opportunities to educate.
- 105 I may have missed it being late to the party but has there been a ruling on sora by the 6th court since the date has passed?
- Still waiting.
- 107 How can I be involved I have sent you all my information to your email regarding my whole case.
- Please email us at intern@aclumich.org to volunteer. Anything about your case would be in our intake with the legal department.
- 108 how are all these websites like homefacts and other allowed to use registry data, including photos on their sites? is this not blatant harassment, which is illegal. is anything being done to stop this?
- It's not illegal. We hate it too, but there are no laws to stop it.
- 109 Why hasn't there been an ex post facto challenge to the 1994 law and 1995 juvenile ammendment for those whose offense predated this?
- Not sure what you mean. You can email us at intern@aclumich.org
- 110 For registrants convicted prior to 2006, is there a SORA in Michgan after may 5th?
- Yes. The Michigan law has not changed in terms of your obligations to register and follow the law. Please check our website for updates at aclumich.org/sora
- 111 please answer if you starting registering in 2008 as tier 1 under the current proposed bill you would have to register for 25 years instead of 15?
- I can't answer that question. The proposed bill is not law and we can't give advice on what it could require.

112 My conviction was 1992 my sentence date was aug 1993 before the registry ever existed , Wouldnt this be ex post facto to the registry in every way ? I been on this list 28 years now . Put on a registry that never existed whn i was convicted ?

The DOE's case addressed this in terms of the collateral consequences of the registry and the judge agreed that pre-2011 offenses are not subject to many of the provisions of the current Michigan registry law. However, the registry itself is not a criminal sanction but a civil one, so the ex post facto claim is not applicable.

113 follow up...how are they connecting to the state database? is the state allowing this? are they getting paid for this access?

If you are referring to sites that copy and distribute the registry information, the state does not give access. The sites copy the information.

114 Next Steps?

email us at intern@aclumich.org

115 thank you and god bless

Thank you for your bravery.